

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/575,638 05/22/2000		Lisa Anne Laffend	CR9715 US DIVI	1504
23906	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
	T DE NEMOURS AND ENT RECORDS CENTER	. ROBINSON	. ROBINSON, HOPE A	
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	1656
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 10/19/2009	s

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			09/575,638	LAFFEND ET AL.			
		E	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Hope A. Robinson	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status				•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
		- 45!:	lia.				
	4) Claim(s) 2,6 and 31 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 6, 31</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or e	lection requirement.				
	on Papers			•			
		o Eveniner					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			4)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 			5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/22/00; 6/4/02</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/575,638 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

- 1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1656.
- 2. Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed June 8, 2004, on February 3, 2005, is acknowledged.

Claim Disposition

3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-30 and 32-34 have been canceled. Claim 6 has been amended. Claims 2, 6 and 31 are pending and under examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on June 4, 2002 has been received and entered. The references cited on the PTO-1449 Form have been considered by the examiner and a copy is attached to the instant Office action. Note however, that the Information Disclosure Statement filed on May 22, 2000 has been lined through, except for the Daniel et al. 1995 reference. It is noted that applicant points to the parent file 08/849,404 for copies of references not herein provided, however, a review of said application did not produce the references. The

Art Unit: 1656

IDS has been placed in the file, however, the information has not been considered. Applicant is required to submit a copy of the references in the instant application for consideration.

Withdrawn-Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. ≥ 112

5. Previous rejection to claims 2 and 6 regarding "extraneous and" is <u>withdrawn</u> by virtue of submission of an amendment.

The Basis For Non-Statutory Double Patenting-Maintained

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1656

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 2, 6 and 31 remain rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,013,494. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application states at least one exogenous gene encoding a glycerol dehydratase from Klebsiella or Citrobacter is used, whereas the claims of the patent state that at least one of four specifically recited genes including a dehydratase gene is used (see for example claim 1 of the patent) and see claims 2-3 of the patent where the same organism is used. Therefore, the instant claims are considered obvious in light of the patented claims; the claims of the patent are generic to the instant claims. Therefore, the instant claims are an obvious variation of the patented claims.

Response to Arguments

8. The response filed February 2, 2005 has been considered. Note that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph of record has been withdrawn based on the amendments to the claims, however, the Obvious-type Double Patenting rejection under 35 U.S. C. 103 remains, for the reasons stated above. In addition, the response on page 3 state that "applicants are uncertain as to their ability to file a terminal disclaimer to the present application to overcome the nonstatutory double patenting rejection". Consequently, as a terminal disclaimer is needed for the

Art Unit: 1656

rejection to be withdrawn and none was provided the rejection remains. Applicant's comment regarding the needing the examiner's approval to file a terminal disclaimer is noted. However, not germane to the issues presented. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). As no action has been taken by applicant the rejection remains.

Page 5

Conclusion

- 9. No claims are presently allowable.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new/modified ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/575,638

Art Unit: 1656

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hope A. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-0957.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kathleen Kerr, can be reached at (571) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hope Robinson, MS de 10/10/05

Patent Examiner

Page 6